The Ten Deadly Sins of FITREPS

2.0 (or 1.0) in any trait. Technically, only a 1.0 is adverse. In practice, a 2.0 will do the trick. Only use these grades to identify clearly substandard performance of a nature that warrants an entry in a Sailor’s permanent record.

4.0 (or 3.0) in leadership. If you can have only one 5.0 trait, you want it to be leadership. An otherwise glowing FITREP without a top grade here may cause concern. Any other trait can be other than 5.0. Use this to flag Sailors who still need improvement in this area.

Missing or reversed billet assignment recommendations. The best first entry in block 40 is “CO,” “CO, as Major Command Officer,” “Command (Senior) Chief” or “Senior Enlisted Advisor” for chiefs. Additionally, convention dictates reiterating these recommendations in block 41 comments. Officers not recommended for command, or receiving inverted recommendations (e.g., “XO/CO”) do not fare as well at apply and selection boards. Be honest, though, and only recommend for command those you consider ready for it.

Weak comments. Civic contributions, routine duties, and adjectives without supporting facts are “fluff.” Fluffy FITREPS imply a Sailor did not accomplish anything significant. Many reporting seniors resort to fluff when receiving weak or non-existent inputs. Consider the uses of FITREPS mentioned above. If a sentence will not make a difference, leave it out. If it means the FITREP is uncomfortably brief, so be it. Use initial and midterm counseling sessions to established meaningful goals to avoid end-of-year gaps.

Lack of strong opening and closing line. The first and last comment lines are FITREP “sweet spots.” This is where a record reviewer looks first. Use this prime advertising space to sell your Sailor. “Ranked #1 of 48 Commanders of any designator” is a silver bullet. “CDR Smith has continued to perform his outstanding and demanding duties in a superlative manner” is fluff. Same officer, vastly different perception. If possible, leave line 2 and 17 blank so first and last lines stand out even more. Close with future recommendation: “select for command now,” “promote now,” etc. These become your talking points for the board.

Accomplishments in AT FITREP only. AT FITREPS are highly overrated. Many board members barely glance at them while reading IDT FITREPS judiciously. If a Sailor makes significant achievements on AT, reflect that in the regular report as well.

EP left on the table. Any 1-of-1 FITREP that is not “EP” is damaging, regardless of the comments. There is no penalty to the grader for using the “EP,” thus boards consider a 1-of-1 “MP” to be a negative signal. A 1-of-1 “P” can be devastating. Use this only when telling a board that this individual is not up to par.

Failure to manage reporting senior average. FITREPS now require summary group average in block 45. However, Sailors (and CO’s) may not know the reporting senior’s cumulative average at FITREP time. A 4.00 in a summary group averaging 3.70 is great, but if the cumulative average is 4.50, this is substandard. For new CO’s, remember that the first FITREP you write is your cumulative average; start low and work your way up so that you don’t run out of maneuvering room later in your career. Boards also consider how many FITREPS reporting seniors have written. It won’t matter if the first FITREP you sign is 3.5 or 5.0; the narrative will make or break your case.

If you think you have been guilty of these sins, review SUPERSINST 1610.10 plus all NINE changes, take these lessons to heart, and go forth and sin no more.